

CHAPTER I

GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

Article 1.1 Scope of the Rules

These rules apply to the International Court of Justice and OSCE Court of Conciliation and Arbitration of MUNLawS Conference.

In case of doubt or conflict between these Rules of Procedure and any other procedural regulation laid down in either the Charter of the United Nations, the Statute of the International Court of Justice, or the rules of OSCE Court of Conciliation and Arbitration, these Rules shall prevail. The Secretariat shall have the final word in every case.

Article 1.2 Language

The official and working language of the MUNLawS Conference is English. In case of necessity and where unavoidable, the Presidency may exceptionally and at their discretion allow usage of other languages, given the proper interpretation is provided by the delegates.

Article 1.3 Courtesy and Disciplinary Rules

All members of the Court hold the responsibility to show utmost respect to each other and shall act accordingly.

One to one discussion during the sessions are strictly prohibited, except for communication through the note passing.

The President of the Court (hereafter the President) will immediately call to order any member of the Court who fails to comply with this rule.

Article 1.4 Communication through Note Passing and Electronic Devices

Written notes are the only means of communication between the members of the Court not recognized to speak.

Notes are distributed by the Administrative Staff present in the Court.

All notes must be in English, and the content of the notes shall not be irrelevant or abusive, otherwise, the Administrative Staff may take the note to the President for investigation and the President may decide not to pass the note if the language or the content is found to be inappropriate.

Communication through note passing between a Judge and an Agent is strictly prohibited. Computers and other electronics may be used in the courtroom only with permission of the President.

Article 1.5 Quorum

The participants are expected to attend on time and not to skip any sessions unless there is an urgent health or personal issue at stake.

The quorum is met if at least two-thirds of the registered Judges are present.

Verification of quorum shall take place at the beginning of every session by a roll call conducted by the President.

When a member of the Court is late for the roll call of the session, a note should be sent to the President with a request for being noticed.

A quorum will be assumed to be present during sessions, unless specifically challenged and shown to be absent by a roll call or deemed as such by the President.

Decisions taken during the Judges' Deliberation sessions shall be taken by the referred majority of the Judges present.

Article 1.6 Dress Code

In accordance with the delegate's diplomatic status, a formal dress code is required. Violation of this article may result in denial of entry into an area where the meeting is held. The Secretary-General shall be the final arbiter in case of a dispute.

Article 1.7 Validity and Applicability of the Rules

These Rules of procedure are considered adopted prior to the conference.

The Secretary-General or by them appointed member(s) of the MUNLawS Team shall have the final word on the validity, applicability, and interpretation of these Rules in case of a dispute.

SECTION II

MEMBERS OF THE COURT

Article 2.1 President and Vice-President

MUNLawS conference shall have one President of the Court and one Vice-president.

The President and Vice-president of the International Court of Justice and OSCE Court of Conciliation and Arbitration shall be appointed by the Secretariat prior to the conference and they shall remain in duty until the closing of proceedings unless otherwise decided by the Secretariat.

The President and Vice-president shall be responsible for the implementation of the Rules of Procedure prepared for the International Court of Justice and OSCE Court of Conciliation and Arbitration. This moderation duty will be the same as a Director's in another Committee.

The President and Vice-president also act as Judges. They shall have an equal vote and say with other Judges in all matters relating to the case before the Court.

The President and Vice-president shall also have one vote each in procedural voting.

The President and Vice-president are obliged to follow the instructions given by the Secretariat. In case of any disagreement or ambiguity relating to the application of a certain article or provision of these Rules of Procedure to a specific situation, the decision of the Secretariat shall be final.

Although the President and Vice-president shall dictate the implementation of the Rules of Procedure in the Court, s/he shall not have authority over the decision of the other Judges unless certain Judge's opinion is obviously biased in which case the concerned Judge shall be given an official warning by the President or the Secretariat.

Article 2.2 Rapporteur

The Rapporteur of the International Court of Justice and OSCE Court of Conciliation and Arbitration shall be appointed by the Secretariat prior to the conference and they shall remain in duty until the closing of proceedings.

The Rapporteur shall not possess the right to participate in the decision-making process; they do not have the right to vote in procedural and substantive matters.

The Rapporteur will take the oaths of the Agents and Witnesses before the opening statements of the Agents or the testimony of the Witnesses.

The Oath shall be declared as: "I solemnly declare upon my honor and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth".

Article 2.3 Judges and Agents

(A) Judges

The Judges shall be appointed by the Secretariat amongst the applicants prior to the conference and shall remain in duty until the closing of proceedings unless otherwise decided by the Secretariat.

A solemn declaration shall be made by each Judge individually prior to the trial; "I, Judge "Surname", solemnly declare that I will perform my duties and exercise my powers as a Judge honorably, faithfully, impartially and conscientiously".

(B) Agents

Agents shall be divided by the Secretariat prior to the conference amongst the Applicant's and Respondent's party. They shall remain in duty unless otherwise decided by the Secretariat.

Agents represent state parties in the case as two for the Applicant and two for the Respondent and they are obliged to act in the best interest of their clients. The written memorials, presentation of evidence, questioning of the Witnesses, rebuttal and surrebuttal of their counter-party statements and evidence, and other methods of proof shall constitute their instruments while carrying out their duty.

Agents, as any other member of the Court, are obliged to abide by the whole Rules of Procedure and final decisions of the President. The official warning procedure for the Judges in Article 7, paragraph 7, also applies to the Agents.

Agents per each side will be required to write a memorial prior to the conference and send it to the Secretariat. Deadlines for the memorial will be notified to Agents by the President.

Agents do not have the right to vote in substantive or procedural voting. However, they may raise some motions following the rules in Section III of MUNLawS ICJ and OSCE Rules of Procedure.

Agents may also raise a Point of Order, Point of Parliamentary Inquiry or Point of Personal Privilege following the rules in Chapter XIII of MUNLawS Rules of Procedure. However, they cannot raise a Point of Information

SECTION III

PHASES OF TRIAL

Article 3.1 Opening Statements

The opening of the session shall be declared as: “All rise, the court is now in session”. Prior to the opening statements, the Rapporteur shall have the Agents take their oaths, as stated in Article 2.2., paragraph 4. An Agent refusing to take the oath will not be able to continue counseling.

Opening statements are brief speeches which can be considered as the restatement of the memorial. The parties intend to show what they will try to prove during the trial.

The time allocated for each party is set by the President and it can be amended by the Agents or Judges before the trial phase begins. Once it begins, it cannot be amended.

The Applicant shall have the first opening statement and after the Applicant completes the opening statement, the Respondent shall proceed. The time allocated for the opening statements shall be divided between both of the Agents representing one party.

Examining the opposition’s Witness is called a cross examination. During the cross examination the side questioning the Witness may only ask questions related to what the Witness has said during the direct questioning.

Article 3.2 Presentation of Evidence

Evidence is any piece of tangible information decided to be reliable by the Court. It can be newspaper articles, multilateral or bilateral treaties, reports, resolutions or anything that in essence helps the Agents prove their arguments.

The procedure related to the time allocated for the opening statements shall be applied to the presentation of evidence *mutatis mutandis*.

The President may *ex officio* decide that evidence is unacceptable. This decision must be announced and it can be appealed by one of the Judges or the Agents. In the event of an appeal, the Judges vote on whether the evidence should be considered acceptable.

If the decision of the President is successfully appealed, the evidence will stand as valid. If no appeal takes place or if the decision of the President stands after the appeal process, that piece of evidence cannot be referred to during the presentation.

The Applicant party shall present their evidence and establish its relation to the case first. They will be followed by the Respondent.

Article 3.3 Testimony of the Witness

Prior to the conference the Applicant and Respondent parties must specify the name of one Witness each, their positions and relation to the case. The Secretariat shall afterwards provide the Agents with the Witnesses and their contact information, so that the Witnesses can be prepared by the Agents before the trial.

These Witnesses shall be called upon by the Rapporteur. After they take their oaths the Court may proceed with the testimony of the Witnesses.

There shall be no certain time limitation for the testimony of Witnesses. However, the President may warn the Agents or Judges should the testimony needlessly exceed a reasonable amount of time.

The testimony of Witnesses shall consist of two main parts; direct examination and cross examination.

During direct examination, the sides shall be questioning their own Witnesses. The side that is examining directly is not allowed to ask leading questions. Such questions are subject to the objection of the other party. The President is also able to rule the question out of order ex officio.

Examining the opposition's Witness is called a cross examination. During the cross examination the side questioning the Witness may only ask questions related to what the Witness has said during the direct examination. Any other questions shall be ruled out of order by the President or objected by the opposing party.

Hearsay questions are not in order. Such questions are subject to the other party's objection. The President shall also be able to rule the question out of order ex officio.

Questions to the Witnesses shall be related to the Witnesses' own experience only. It must be possible for the source of the information to be examined directly during the cross-examination.

Applicant shall be the first to present the Witness. After the direct examination by the Applicant, the Respondent shall have an opportunity to cross-examine the Witness. Finally, the Witness shall be questioned by the Judges. However, the Judges' questions are not limited by the Witness' statements during the direct examination. The same procedure will apply to the Witness of the Respondent.

Article 3.4 Questioning of the Agents and Witnesses by the Judges Following the Presentation of Evidence

The Judges may question any side they prefer; however, they may only pose one question at a time.

There shall be no certain time limitation for the questioning; nevertheless, the President may at any time determine to end the questioning. This decision of the President is subject to appeal. In the event of an appeal, the Judges vote on the President's decision.

The questions of the Judges shall not be limited by the scope of the presentation.

One Agent from each side shall answer the question of a Judge. Intervention from the other Agents will not be allowed. However, the parties are free to decide which Agent will answer any given question.

The President may at any time rule a question or answer out of order and this decision cannot be appealed.

Article 3.5 Rebuttal and Surrebuttal

During the rebuttal and surrebuttal the introduction of new evidence shall be forbidden. However, the Agents will ask the Judges to admit previously presented documents and Witnesses into evidence.

During rebuttal and surrebuttal, the parties shall try to determine where their argument was lacking and try to compensate. The rebuttal and surrebuttal shall be delivered by only one Agent for each party.

The time allocated for rebuttal and surrebuttal is set by the President and it can be amended by the Agent or Judges before the trial phase begins. Once it begins, it cannot be amended.

After each party finishes their rebuttal and surrebuttal, the Judges will have the opportunity to question the sides. Questioning of a certain side starts immediately after they have delivered their rebuttal and surrebuttal. The rules from Article 13, Paragraph 3-7 apply for the Questioning of the Judges.

Article 3.6 Judges' Deliberation

After the conclusion of the rebuttal phase, the Agents are asked to leave the Courtroom for Judges' Deliberation. The Judges discuss the case in private.

During the deliberation the methods of open debate, moderated caucus and unmoderated caucus as explained in Chapter IX. of MUNLawS Rules of Procedure, will be adopted. However, the Judges are not required to stand up or go up to the podium while making speeches.

Length of the Judges' deliberation will be determined and announced by the President. The President may extend the time if needed; however, his or her final decision is not a subject to appeal.

Article 3.7 Closing Statements

Closing statements shall be given by the Agents for each party to the case.

During the closing statements the Agents shall try to present what they have proven through their evidence, legal elements and Witnesses and deliver their prayer for relief. The time allocated for the closing statements shall be determined by the President. The procedure in Article 3.1, paragraph 2 will be applied mutatis mutandis.

SECTIONS IV

OBJECTIONS

Article 4.1 General

Where one of the parties' action or statement is considered as falling under the scope of any objection set forth in this Section, the other party has a right to raise an objection.

The final decision on the objection shall be made by the President and this decision shall not be subject to an appeal with the exception of an immaterial objection.

The President shall announce the decision on the objection as "granted" or "overruled".

Objections may interrupt the speaker.

Article 4.2 Hearsay

Hearsay is a testimony that is given by a Witness who speaks about not what s/he knows personally, but what others have said, which therefore depends on the credibility of someone other than the Witness. Such testimony is inadmissible under the rules of evidence.

Questions to the Witness shall be related to the Witness' own experience only. It must be possible for the source of the information to be examined directly during cross-examination.

If one of the parties to the case asks hearsay questions to a Witness, the other party has the right to raise an objection.

The final decision on the objection shall be made by the President and this decision shall not be subject to appeal.

Article 4.3 Leading Question

Leading question is a question that suggests the answer to the person being interrogated; especially a question that may be answered by a mere "yes" or "no".

In case of a leading question during the examination, the other party has the right to object. The final decision on the objection shall be made by the President and this decision shall not be subject to appeal.

Article 4.4 Speculation

Speculation is the act or practice of theorizing about matters over which there is no certain knowledge.

This objection shall be raised if a Witness tries to predict the result of an answer or possible outcome of an event.

In case of speculation the other party has the right to object. The final decision on the objection shall be made by the President and this decision shall not be subject to appeal.

Article 4.5 Relevance

All assertions by the parties shall be relevant to the case at hand.

If the assertion made is irrelevant to the case the other party shall have the right to object. The final decision on the objection shall be made by the President and this decision shall not be subject to appeal.

Article 4.6 Badgering

During the examination of the Witnesses, Agents have the responsibility to refrain from intimidation and distressing methods.

If one of the parties fails to meet this criterion, the other party shall have the right to raise an objection. The final decision on the objection shall be made by the President and this decision shall not be subject to appeal.

Article 4.7 Immaterial

Immaterial evidence tends to prove some fact that is not proper or is lacking logical connection with the consequential facts.

Assertion of law by the parties must be in accordance with the Article 38 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice and OSCE Court of Conciliation and Arbitration. Furthermore, the assertion of facts must be certified under oath.

If one of the parties fails to abide by this rule, the other party shall have the right to object. The decision on the objection by the President may be subject to an appeal. In the event of an appeal the final decision shall be made by the Judges' vote.

Article 4.8 Prejudicial

All assertions of law and facts shall respect the personal integrity of the Agents, Judges, Witnesses and others present in the Courtroom.

If an assertion by one of the parties harms the personal integrity of a person, an objection may be raised by any of the persons mentioned above. The final decision on the objection shall be made by the President and this decision shall not be subject to appeal.

Article 4.9 Competence

This objection shall be raised when a speaker asserts to a technical detail which cannot be assessed by the mentioned speaker.

The objection shall only be raised by the other party. The final decision on the objection shall be made by the President and this decision shall not be subject to appeal.

SECTION V

JUDGEMENT

Article 5.1 General

The simple majority vote of the Judges will be required for deciding on claims and writing of the Judgment.

Each Judge shall have one vote. In the event of an equality of votes, the President or the Judge who acts in his place shall have a casting vote.

The Judgment shall include the following aspects:

1. The date of Judgment
2. The names and signatures of the Judges authorizing the Judgement.
3. Names of the parties and Agents
4. Summary of the trial
5. Statement of the facts
6. Legal ground
7. The Merits of Each Claim
 - a. The Position of the Parties
 - b. The Tribunal's Assessments
8. Decision
9. Dissenting and concurring opinions

Dissenting opinions can be written and added to the Judgment by Judges who oppose the Judgment of the Court.

Separate opinions can be written and added to the final Judgment by Judges who agree with the final Judgment despite having different legal basis.

APPENDIX I

PRECEDENCE OF POINTS AND MOTIONS

Rule	Description	Debatable	Votes Required	Interrupt Speaker
Point of Personal Privilege	Feeling discomfort	No	No	Yes
Point of Order	To point out a misuse of rules	No	No	No
Right to Reply	Reply to a personal or national integrity insult	No	No	No
Point of Parliamentary Inquiry	Clarification of the rules	No	No	No
Motion to Set Speaking Time	Define speaking time limit	No	Simple Majority	No
Motion to Adjourn or Suspend the Meeting (Article 9.1.)	Adjourn until next scheduled meeting / for the duration of the Conference	No	Simple Majority	No
Motion for Unmoderated Caucus	Proceed to an Unmoderated Caucus	No	Simple Majority	No
Motion for Moderated Caucus	Proceed to Moderated Caucus	No	Simple Majority	No
Motion for the Verification of Quorum	Seeking to verify the presence of delegates	No	No	No
Motion to Close Debate on the Topic under Discussion	Close debate on the Topic Area	Yes (1-)	2/3 Majority	No
Motion to Table Debate (Article 9.4.)	Postpone debate	Yes (1+/1-)	2/3 Majority	No

Motion to Close Debate on a Draft Resolution (Article 9.6.)	Close the separate debate on a Draft Resolution	Yes (1+/1-)	2/3 Majority	No
Motion to Question the Competence of the Chair(s) (Article 9.7.)	Question Chair's competence in case of grave misconduct	No	3 Delegates (Written)	No
Motion to Reconsider a Question (Article 9.8.)	Reconsider an already closed question	No	Simple Majority	No
Motion to Introduce a Draft Resolution	Introduction of a draft Communiqué	No	Simple Majority	No
Motion to Introduce an Amendment	Introduction of an Amendment	No	Simple Majority	No
Motion for Question and Answer Period (Article 9.5.)	Move to Question and Answer Period	No	Simple Majority	No
Motion of Competence (Article 9.9.)	Question the competence of the Committee to discuss the Draft Resolution	No	Simple Majority	No
Motion for Division of Question (Article 9.10.)	Substantive vote by divided sections.	No	Simple Majority	No
Motion to Split the House (Article 9.11.)	No abstentions allowed during substantive vote	No	2/3 Majority	No

APPENDIX II

TIMELINE OF THE PROCEDURE

Phases of Trial	Time Allocated (per each side)
Opening Statements (Article 3.1.)	Time is set by the President and can be amended by Agents of Judges before the trial phase (max 5 min.)
Presentation of Evidence (Article 3.2.)	Time is set by the President and can be amended by Agents of Judges before the trial phase (max 20 min.)
Testimony of Witnesses (Article 3.3.)	No time limitation but the President may warn about exceeding of time (max 20 min.)
Questioning by the Judges (Article 3.4.)	No time limitation but the President may determine the end of questioning (max 20 min.)
Rebuttal and Surrebuttal (Article 3.5.)	Time is set by the President and can be amended by Agents of Judges before the trial phase (max 10 min.)
Closing Statements (Article 3.7.)	Time is set by the President (max 5 min.)